

CBM

CBM  
R

8414  
1989

49



No. 8949

THE  $D_3$ -TRIANGULATION FOR SIMPLICIAL  
DEFORMATION ALGORITHMS FOR COMPUTING  
SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

by Chuangyin Dang

October, 1989

The  $D_3$ -Triangulation for Simplicial Deformation Algorithms  
for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations

Chuangyin Dang

Center for Economic Research

Tilburg University

The Netherlands

Abstract - We construct a new triangulation of continuous refinement of grid sizes of  $(0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for simplicial deformation algorithms, which is called the  $D_3$ -triangulation. We demonstrate that the  $D_3$ -triangulation is superior to the well known  $K_3$ - and  $J_3$ -triangulations when we count the number of simplices in the unit cube.

Keywords: Triangulations, Simplicial Deformation Algorithms, Measures of Efficiency of Triangulations

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Dolf Talman for his remarks on an earlier version of this paper, and Gerard van der Laan, He Xuchu and Chen Kaizhou for their encouragement.

## 1. Introduction

In order to find a fixed point or a zero point of a nonlinear mapping, several simplicial fixed point algorithms have been proposed, see [3], [4], [5] and [6]. These algorithms are based on triangulations and the complementary pivoting technique, see also [1] for a survey on this. The class of deformation algorithms, which was obtained by using triangulations with continuous refinement of grid sizes, is one of the most successful algorithms, see [3] and [5]. To improve this kind of algorithms (or reduce the cost of computation), we construct a new triangulation with continuous refinement of grid sizes of  $(0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by using the  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  proposed in [2], and we show that it is superior to the well known  $K_3^-$  and  $J_3^-$ -triangulations when counting the number of simplices.

The second section introduces the construction of the  $D_3$ -triangulation. We give the definition of the  $D_3$ -triangulation in section 3. The pivot rules of the  $D_3$ -triangulation are presented in section 4. We compare the  $K_3^-$ ,  $J_3^-$ , and  $D_3$ -triangulations in section 5.

## 2. The Construction of the $D_3$ -Triangulation

Let  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that all components of  $w$  are integers. Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $I_o(w) = \{i \in N \mid w_i \text{ is odd}\}$ , and  $I_e(w) = \{j \in N \mid w_j \text{ is even}\}$ . Let  $A(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_i - 1 \leq x_i \leq w_i + 1 \text{ for all } i \in I_o(w); x_i = w_i \text{ for all } i \in I_e(w)\}$  and  $B(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = w_i \text{ for all } i \in I_o(w); w_i - 1 \leq x_i \leq w_i + 1 \text{ for all } i \in I_e(w)\}$ .

Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer. We denote  $\{2^{-k}\} \times A(w)$  and

$\{2^{-(k+1)}\} \times B(w)$  by  $\begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ A(w) \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ B(w) \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively. Let

$$D(w) = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ A(w) \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ B(w) \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

where  $\text{conv} \{.\}$  means the convex hull of some set.

Lemma 2.1. Let  $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$ . We have

$$D(w) = \left\{ d \in [2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{R}^n \left| \begin{array}{l} |d_i - w_i| \leq 2^{k+1} d_0 - 1 \text{ for all } i \in I_0(w) \\ |d_i - w_i| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{k+1} d_0 \text{ for all } i \in I_e(w) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proof. Let  $C$  denote the set in the right side of the above formula.

Let  $\bar{x} = (x_0, x)^T \in D(w)$ . Then there exist  $\bar{x}^A = (2^{-k}, x^A)^T \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ A(w) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\bar{x}^B = (2^{-(k+1)}, x^B)^T \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ B(w) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda_A \geq 0$ , and  $\lambda_B \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda_A + \lambda_B = 1$  and  $\bar{x} = \lambda_A \bar{x}^A + \lambda_B \bar{x}^B$ . Thus

$$x_i - w_i = (2^{k+1} x_0 - 1)(x_i^A - w_i), \text{ for all } i \in I_0(w),$$

$$x_i - w_i = (2 \cdot 2^{k+1} x_0)(x_i^B - w_i), \text{ for all } i \in I_e(w).$$

Therefore,  $\bar{x} \in C$ . This means  $D(w) \subseteq C$ .

Let  $\bar{x} = (x_0, x)^T \in C$ ,  $\lambda_A = 2^{k+1} x_0 - 1$ , and  $\lambda_B = 2 \cdot 2^{k+1} x_0$ .

If  $\lambda_A = 0$  or  $\lambda_B = 0$ , then  $\bar{x} \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ B(w) \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\bar{x} \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ A(w) \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively.

If  $\lambda_A > 0$  and  $\lambda_B > 0$ , let  $x^A$  and  $x^B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by

$$x_i^A = (x_i - (2 \cdot 2^{k+1} x_0) w_i) / (2^{k+1} x_0 - 1) \text{ and } x_i^B = w_i, \text{ for all } i \in I_0(w),$$

$$x_i^B = (x_i - (2^{k+1} x_0 - 1) w_i) / (2 \cdot 2^{k+1} x_0) \text{ and } x_i^A = w_i, \text{ for all } i \in I_e(w).$$

Let  $\bar{x}^A = (2^{-k}, x^A)^T$  and  $\bar{x}^B = (2^{-(k+1)}, x^B)^T$ . Then

$$\bar{x}^A \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ A(w) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \bar{x}^B \in \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ B(w) \end{bmatrix}.$$

But  $\bar{x} = \lambda_A \bar{x}^A + \lambda_B \bar{x}^B$ , thus  $\bar{x} \in D(w)$ . This means  $C \subseteq D(w)$ . We complete the proof.

Let  $Q = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{all components of } w \text{ are integers}\}$ .

Lemma 2.2.  $\bigcup_{w \in Q} D(w) = [2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. Let  $d \in [2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by

$$w_i = \begin{cases} \lfloor d_i \rfloor & , \text{ if } \lfloor d_i \rfloor \text{ is even and } d_i - \lfloor d_i \rfloor \leq 2^{-2^{k+1}} d_0 \text{ or} \\ & \lfloor d_i \rfloor \text{ is odd and } d_i - \lfloor d_i \rfloor \leq 2^{k+1} d_0^{-1}, \\ \lfloor d_i \rfloor + 1 & , \text{ if } \lfloor d_i \rfloor \text{ is even and } d_i - \lfloor d_i \rfloor > 2^{-2^{k+1}} d_0 \text{ or} \\ & \lfloor d_i \rfloor \text{ is odd and } d_i - \lfloor d_i \rfloor > 2^{k+1} d_0^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $|d_i - w_i| \leq 2^{k+1} d_0^{-1}$  for all  $i \in I_o(w)$  and  $|d_i - w_i| \leq 2^{-2^{k+1}} d_0$  for all  $i \in I_e(w)$ . Thus  $d \in D(w)$ . We complete the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let  $w^1, w^2 \in Q$ . Then  $D(w^1) \cap D(w^2)$  is either empty or a common face of both  $D(w^1)$  and  $D(w^2)$ , and

$$D(w^1) \cap D(w^2) = \text{conv} \left\{ \left[ A(w^1) \cap A(w^2) \right]^{2^{-k}} \cup \left[ B(w^1) \cap B(w^2) \right]^{2^{-(k+1)}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let  $C$  denote the set in the right side of the above formula.

Obviously  $C \subseteq D(w^1) \cap D(w^2)$ .

Let  $\bar{x} = (x_o, x)^T \in D(w^1) \cap D(w^2)$ . Then there exist  $\lambda_A^1 \geq 0, \lambda_B^1 \geq 0$ ,

$\bar{x}_A^i = (2^{-k}, x_A^i)^T \in \left[ A(w^1) \right]^{2^{-k}}$ , and  $\bar{x}_B^i = (2^{-(k+1)}, x_B^i)^T \in \left[ B(w^1) \right]^{2^{-(k+1)}}$  such that  $\lambda_A^1 + \lambda_B^1 = 1$  and  $\bar{x} = \lambda_A^1 \bar{x}_A^i + \lambda_B^1 \bar{x}_B^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $\lambda_A^1 = \lambda_A^2$  and  $\lambda_B^1 = \lambda_B^2$ .

If  $\lambda_A^1 = 0$  or  $\lambda_B^1 = 0$ , then  $\bar{x} \in C$ .

If  $\lambda_A^1 > 0$  and  $\lambda_B^1 > 0$ , then for all  $i \in N$  the following cases occur:

1) If  $i \in I_o(w^1)$  and  $i \in I_e(w^2)$  or  $i \in I_e(w^1)$  and  $i \in I_o(w^2)$ , then

$$|w_i^1 - w_i^2| = 1. \text{ Thus } x_{Ai}^1 = x_{Ai}^2 \text{ and } x_{Bi}^1 = x_{Bi}^2.$$

2) If  $i \in I_O(w^1)$  and  $i \in I_O(w^2)$  or  $i \in I_e(w^1)$  and  $i \in I_e(w^2)$ , then

$$|w_i^1 - w_i^2| < 2. \text{ Thus } x_{Ai}^1 = x_{Ai}^2 \text{ and } x_{Bi}^1 = x_{Bi}^2.$$

This means  $\bar{x}_A^1 = \bar{x}_A^2$  and  $\bar{x}_B^1 = \bar{x}_B^2$ . Thus  $\bar{x} \in C$ . Therefore  $C \supseteq D(w^1) \cap D(w^2)$ . We complete the proof.

The above conclusions can also be found in [4], but without proof.

Next, we discuss how to construct the  $D_3$ -triangulation using the above conclusions and the  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The definition of the  $D_1$ -triangulation, see [2], is as follows.

Let  $D_1^{Oc} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{all components of } x \text{ are odd}\}$ . Let  $0 \leq p \leq n-1$  be an integer. Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of the elements of  $N$  such that  $\pi(p) < \pi(p+1) < \dots < \pi(n)$  if  $p \geq 1$  and  $\pi(1) < \pi(2) < \dots < \pi(n)$  if  $p = 0$ . Let  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^T$  be a sign vector such that  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\bar{u}^i$  be the  $i$ -th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $y \in D_1^{Oc}$ . If  $p = 0$ , let  $y^0 = y$ , and  $y^k = y + s_{\pi(k)} \bar{u}^{\pi(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

If  $p \geq 1$ , let  $y^0 = y + s$ ,

$$y^k = y^{k-1} - s_{\pi(k)} \bar{u}^{\pi(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \text{ and}$$

$$y^k = y + s_{\pi(k)} \bar{u}^{\pi(k)}, \quad k = p, \dots, n.$$

Then the convex hull of  $y^0, y^1, \dots, y^n$  is a simplex, which is denoted by  $D_1(y, \pi, s, p)$ . The  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of all such simplices  $D_1(y, \pi, s, p)$ . Let  $\bar{D}_1$  be the set of all faces of all simplices of the  $D_1$ -triangulation. We set  $\alpha_0 = 1/2$  and  $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k/2$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$2\alpha_k \bar{D}_1 = \{2\alpha_k \sigma \mid \sigma \in \bar{D}_1\},$$

$$2\alpha_{k+1} \bar{D}_1 = \{2\alpha_{k+1} \sigma \mid \sigma \in \bar{D}_1\},$$

$$\alpha_k A(w) = \{\alpha_k x \mid x \in A(w)\},$$

$$\alpha_k B(w) = \{\alpha_k x \mid x \in B(w)\},$$



$$\alpha_k^D(w) = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ \alpha_k^A(w) \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ \alpha_k^B(w) \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$2\alpha_k \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^A(w) = \{\sigma \subseteq \alpha_k^A(w) \mid \sigma \in 2\alpha_k \bar{D}_1 \text{ and } \dim \sigma = \dim A(w)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$2\alpha_{k+1} \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^B(w) = \{\sigma \subseteq \alpha_k^B(w) \mid \sigma \in 2\alpha_{k+1} \bar{D}_1 \text{ and } \dim \sigma = \dim B(w)\}.$$

From the definition of the  $D_1$ -triangulation, it is obvious that  $2\alpha_k \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^A(w)$  and  $2\alpha_{k+1} \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^B(w)$  triangulate  $\alpha_k^A(w)$  and  $\alpha_k^B(w)$ , respectively.

Let  $s = |I_o(w)|$  and  $t = |I_e(w)|$ . Let

$$\sigma_A = [y_A^0, y_A^1, \dots, y_A^s] \in 2\alpha_k \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^A(w) \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma_B = [y_B^0, y_B^1, \dots, y_B^t] \in 2\alpha_{k+1} \bar{D}_1 \mid \alpha_k^B(w).$$

Lemma 2.4. Let  $\sigma = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ \sigma_A \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ \sigma_B \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a simplex, and

$$\sigma = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ y_A^0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ y_A^1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ y_A^s \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ y_B^0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ y_B^1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ y_B^t \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exist  $\lambda_0^A, \lambda_1^A, \dots, \lambda_s^A, \lambda_0^B, \lambda_1^B, \dots, \lambda_t^B$  such that

$$\lambda_0^A + \lambda_1^A + \dots + \lambda_s^A + \lambda_0^B + \lambda_1^B + \dots + \lambda_t^B = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i^A \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ y_A^i \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=0}^t \lambda_j^B \begin{bmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ y_B^j \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and  $\lambda_0^A, \lambda_1^A, \dots, \lambda_s^A, \lambda_0^B, \lambda_1^B, \dots, \lambda_t^B$  are not all zeros.

Then  $\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i^A = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^t \lambda_j^B = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^s \lambda_i^A y_A^i = 0$ , and  $\sum_{j=0}^t \lambda_j^B y_B^j = 0$ .

Therefore,  $y_A^0, y_A^1, \dots, y_A^s$  or  $y_B^0, y_B^1, \dots, y_B^t$  are affinely dependent. The contradiction appears. The lemma follows immediately.

Let  $T(k, k+1)$  be the set of all such simplices  $\sigma$  as defined in Lemma 2.4,

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .



Lemma 2.5. For any  $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \in T(k, k+1)$ ,  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2$  is either empty or a common face of both  $\sigma^1$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

Proof. Let  $\sigma^1 = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ \tau_A^1 \end{pmatrix} \cup \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ \tau_B^1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \alpha_k^D(w^1)$ , and let

$$\sigma^2 = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ \tau_A^2 \end{pmatrix} \cup \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ \tau_B^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \alpha_k^D(w^2).$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have  $\sigma^1 \cap \sigma^2 = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-k} \\ \tau_A^1 \cap \tau_A^2 \end{pmatrix} \cup \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ \tau_B^1 \cap \tau_B^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

But  $\tau_A^1 \cap \tau_A^2$  is either empty or a common face of both  $\tau_A^1$  and  $\tau_A^2$ , and  $\tau_B^1 \cap \tau_B^2$  is either empty or a common face of both  $\tau_B^1$  and  $\tau_B^2$ . So the lemma is correct.

Lemma 2.6.  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in T(k, k+1)} \sigma = [2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, the lemma follows immediately.

Lemma 2.7.  $T(k, k+1)$  triangulates  $[2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. From Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5, and Lemma 2.6, we have this conclusion.

Theorem 2.8.  $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} T(k, k+1)$  triangulates  $(0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. From the choice of  $\alpha_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , this conclusion follows from Lemma 2.7.

### 3. The $D_3$ -Triangulation for Simplicial Continuous Deformation Algorithms

Let  $D_3^{Oc} = \{y \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \text{ is such that for some integer } k \geq 1, y_0 = 2^{-k} \text{ and } y_i/y_0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ are all odd}\}.$

Let  $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $u^i$  denote the  $i$ -th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^T$  be a sign vector such that  $s_i \in \{-1, +1\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $y \in D_3^{Oc}$ . Let

$$t_i = t_i(y) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } y_i/y_0 = 1 \pmod{4}, \\ +1, & \text{if } y_i/y_0 = 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let  $\pi = (\pi(0), \dots, \pi(n))$  be a permutation of the elements of  $N_0$  and let  $j$  be such that  $\pi(j) = 0$ . Next, for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ , let

$$w_{\pi(i)} = (y_{\pi(i)} + y_0 s_{\pi(i)})/y_0.$$

Let  $I_e = \{\pi(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq j-1, w_{\pi(i)}/2 \text{ is even}\}$  and let  $h = |I_e|$ .

Finally, let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be integers such that  $-1 \leq p_1 \leq j-2$  and  $0 \leq p_2 \leq n-j-1$  if  $h = 0$ , and  $p_2 = n-j$  if  $h > 0$ . Then  $y^{-1}, y^0, \dots, y^n$  are defined as follows.

#### Definition 3.1.

If  $p_1 = -1$ , then  $y^{-1} = y$ ,  $y^i = y + y_0 s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ .

If  $p_1 \geq 0$ , then  $y^{-1} = y + y_0 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$ ,

$$y^i = y^{i-1} - y_0 s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, p_1-1,$$

$$y^i = y + y_0 s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = p_1, \dots, j-1.$$

If  $h > 0$ , then  $y^j = y + y_0 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 \sum_{i=j+1}^n t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 u^0$ ,

$$y^i = y^{i-1} - 2y_0 t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = j+1, \dots, n.$$

If  $h = 0$  and  $p_2 = 0$ , then

$$y^j = y + y_0 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} - y_0 \sum_{i=j+1}^n t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 u^0,$$

$$y^i = y^j + 2y_0 t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = j+1, \dots, n.$$

Finally, if  $h = 0$  and  $p_2 \geq 1$ , then

$$y^j = y + y_0 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 \sum_{i=j+1}^n t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 u^0,$$

$$y^i = y^{i-1} - 2y_0 t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = j+1, \dots, j+p_2-1,$$

and when  $p_2 = n-j-1$ ,

$$y^{n-1} = y^{n-2} - 2y_0 t_{\pi(n-1)} u^{\pi(n-1)},$$

$$y^n = y^{n-2} - 2y_0 t_{\pi(n)} u^{\pi(n)},$$

and when  $p_2 < n-j-1$ , then with

$$y^* = y + y_0 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} - y_0 \sum_{i=j+1}^n t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)} + y_0 u^0,$$

$$y^i = y^* + 2y_0 t_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}, \quad i = j+p_2, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let  $y^{-1}, y^0, \dots, y^n$  be produced from the above definition.

Let  $\sigma = \text{conv} \{y^{-1}, y^0, \dots, y^n\}$  be their convex hull. Then  $\sigma$  is a simplex of  $T(k, k+1)$ .

Proof. From the definition of  $y^{-1}, y^0, \dots, y^n$ , we can rewrite them into

$$y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y^0 = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ -0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad y^{j-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ -j-1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$y^j = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_0 \\ -j \end{bmatrix}, \quad y^{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_0 \\ -j+1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad y^n = \begin{bmatrix} 2y_0 \\ -n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\bar{\tau}_B = \text{conv} \{y^{-1}, y^0, \dots, y^{j-1}\}$  and

$$\bar{\tau}_A = \text{conv} \{y^j, y^{j+1}, \dots, y^n\}.$$

Let  $w_{\pi(i)} = (y_{\pi(i)} + y_0 s_{\pi(i)})/y_0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ , and

$$w_{\pi(i)} = y_{\pi(i)}/y_0, \quad i = j+1, \dots, n.$$

Then  $\bar{\tau}_B \in y_0 \bar{D}_1 \mid y_0 B(w)$  and  $\bar{\tau}_A \in 2y_0 \bar{D}_1 \mid y_0 A(w)$ .

But then  $\sigma = \text{conv} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ -\bar{\tau}_B \end{bmatrix} \cup \begin{bmatrix} 2y_0 \\ -\bar{\tau}_A \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . This proves the lemma.

The simplex  $\sigma$  in Lemma 3.1 is denoted by  $D_3(y, \pi, s, p_1, p_2)$ . Let  $D_3$  be the set of all such simplices  $D_3(y, \pi, s, p_1, p_2)$ .

Theorem 3.2.  $D_3 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} T(k, k+1)$ .

Proof. From the definition of the  $D_1$ -triangulation, Lemma 3.1, the construction of  $T(k, k+1)$ , and the definition of  $D_3$ , we have this conclusion.

Theorem 3.2 implies that  $D_3$  is a triangulation of  $(0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is called the  $D_3$ -triangulation.

#### 4. The Pivot Rules of the $D_3$ -Triangulation

Let  $\sigma = [y^{-1}, y^0, y^1, \dots, y^n] = D_3(y, \pi, s, p_1, p_2)$  be given. We wish to obtain the unique simplex  $\sigma = [\bar{y}^{-1}, \bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^n] = D_1(\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{s}, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2)$ , containing all vertices of  $\sigma$  except  $y^i$ . Let  $j \in N_0$  such that  $\pi(j) = 0$ . Let  $a_0 = -1$ ,  $a_{\pi(l)} = s_{\pi(l)}$  for  $0 \leq l < j$ , and  $a_{\pi(l)} = t_{\pi(l)}$  for  $j < l \leq n$ . We can see that  $D_3(y, \pi, s, p_1, p_2)$  can be obtained from  $y, \pi, a, p_1$ , and  $p_2$ . Let  $\bar{a}_0 = -1$ ,  $\bar{a}_{\bar{\pi}(l)} = \bar{s}_{\bar{\pi}(l)}$  for  $0 \leq l < \bar{j} = \bar{\pi}^{-1}(0)$ , and  $\bar{a}_{\bar{\pi}(l)} = \bar{t}_{\bar{\pi}(l)}$  for  $\bar{j} < l \leq n$ . Then  $D_3(\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{s}, \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2)$  can be obtained from  $\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{a}, \bar{p}_1$ , and  $\bar{p}_2$ . Table (4-1) shows how  $\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{a}, \bar{p}_1$  and  $\bar{p}_2$  depend on  $y, \pi, a, p_1, p_2$  and  $i$ . From table (4-1) it is easy to obtain each vertex  $\bar{y}^l$ ,  $l = -1, 0, 1, \dots, n$ , of  $\bar{\sigma}$ , and in particular, its new vertex.

Table (4-1). The Pivot Rules of the  $D_3$ -Triangulation

$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
-1	0				$y - y_0 a$	$a$	$(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n), \pi(0))$	$p_2^{-1}$	0	$n$
	1	-1			$y + 2y_0 a_{\pi(0)} u^{\pi(0)}$	$a - 2a_{\pi(0)} u^{\pi(0)}$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
	$j > 1$	-1			$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	$j$
	$j > 0$	0			$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2$	$j$
		0		0	$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(0)} u^{\pi(0)}$	$(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n), \pi(0))$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2$	$j - 1$
				$p_2 \geq 1$	$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(0)} u^{\pi(0)}$	$(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(0), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2 + 1$	$j - 1$
		$p_1 \geq 1$	$ I_e  > 0$		$y$	if $a_{\pi(0)} = t_{\pi(0)},$ $\bar{a} := a$	$(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n), \pi(0))$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2$	$j - 1$
					$y$	if $a_{\pi(0)} = -t_{\pi(0)},$ $\bar{a} := a - 2a_{\pi(0)} u^{\pi(0)}$	$(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(0), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2$	$j - 1$

$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
$0 \leq i \leq j-1$		0	0	0	y	$a - 2a_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n), \pi(i))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j-1$
				$p_2 \geq 1$	y	$a - 2a_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(i), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2 + 1$	$j-1$
		-1	$ I_e  > 0$		y	if $a_{\pi(i)} = t_{\pi(i)},$ $\bar{a} := a$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n), \pi(i))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j-1$
					y	if $a_{\pi(i)} = -t_{\pi(i)},$ $\bar{a} := a - 2a_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(i), \pi(j+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j-1$
		$0 \leq p_1 < j-2,$ $p_1 - 1 < i$			y	a	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(p_1 - 1), \pi(i), \pi(p_1), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	j

$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
$0 \leq i \leq j-1$		$p_1 \geq 0, i < p_1 - 1$			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i+1), \pi(i), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
		$p_1 \geq 0, i = p_1 - 1$			$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1^{-1}$	$p_2$	$j$
$j-2$		$0 \leq p_1 = j-2$			$y + 2y_0 a_{\pi(j-1)} u^{\pi(j-1)}$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j-1)} u^{\pi(j-1)}$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
$j-1$					$y + 2y_0 a_{\pi(j-2)} u^{\pi(j-2)}$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j-2)} u^{\pi(j-2)}$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
$j$	$j < n-1$		0	0	$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2 + 1$	$j$
		-1			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(j+1), \pi(j), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
	$n-1$	$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(j+1), \pi(0), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(j+2), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
	$j < n$			1	$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2^{-1}$	$j$



$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
$j$	$j < n$	-1	0	$p_2 \geq 2$	$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j+1)} u^{\pi(j+1)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(j+1), \pi(j), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2^{-1}$	$j+1$
		$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j+1)} u^{\pi(j+1)}$	$(\pi(j+1), \pi(0), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(j+2), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2^{-1}$	$j+1$
		-1	$ I_e  > 0$		$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j+1)} u^{\pi(j+1)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(j+1), \pi(j), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
		$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(j+1)} u^{\pi(j+1)}$	$(\pi(j+1), \pi(0), \dots, \pi(j), \pi(j+2), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
	$n$				$y + \frac{1}{2} y_0 a$	$a$	$(\pi(n), \pi(0), \dots, \pi(n-1))$	-1	$p_1 + 1$	0

$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
$j < i \leq n$		-1	0	0	$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(j-1), \pi(i), \pi(j), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n)))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
		$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a - 2a_{\pi(i)} u^{\pi(i)}$	$(\pi(i), \pi(0), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n)))$	$p_1+1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
				$p_2 \geq 1$ $i < p_2 + j - 1$	$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(i+1), \pi(i), \dots, \pi(n)))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
				$1 \leq p_2$ $< n - j - 1$ $i > p_2 + j - 1$	$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots, \pi(p_2 + j - 1), \pi(i), \pi(p_2 + j), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n)))$	$p_1$	$p_2 + 1$	$j$
				$p_2 \geq 1$ $i = p_2 + j - 1$	$y$	$a$	$\pi$	$p_1$	$p_2 - 1$	$j$

$i$	$j$	$p_1$	$ I_e $	$p_2$	$\bar{y}$	$\bar{a}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}_1$	$\bar{p}_2$	$\bar{j}$
$j < i \leq n$		-1	0	$i > p_2 + j - 1$ $p_2 = n - j - 1$ $p_2 \geq 1$	$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots,$ $\pi(j-1), \pi(i),$ $\pi(j), \dots, \pi(i-1),$ $\pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
		$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(i), \pi(0), \dots,$ $\pi(i-1), \pi(i+1),$ $\dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
$j < i < n$			$ I_e  > 0$		$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots,$ $\pi(i+1), \pi(i)$ $\dots, \pi(n))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j$
$n$	$j < n$	-1			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(0), \dots,$ $\pi(j-1), \pi(n),$ $\pi(j), \dots, \pi(n-1))$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$j+1$
		$p_1 \geq 0$			$y$	$a$	$(\pi(n), \pi(0), \pi(1)$ $\dots, \pi(n-1))$	$p_1 + 1$	$p_2$	$j+1$

# 5. The Comparison of Several Triangulations for Simplicial Continuous Deformation Algorithms

Let  $I^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

About the definitions of the  $K_3^-$  and  $J_3^-$ -triangulations, see [7].

**Theorem 5.1.** The number of simplices of both the  $K_3^-$  and the  $J_3^-$ -triangulation in  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times I^n$  is equal to  $p_n = (2^{n+1}-1)n!$ .

Proof. See [7].

**Theorem 5.2.** The number of simplices of the  $D_3^-$ -triangulation in  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times I^n$  is equal to

$$q_n = \sum_{m=0}^n ((2^m-1)C_n^m d_m (n-m)! + C_n^m d_m d_{n-m}), \text{ where } d_m = m + m(m-1) + \dots + m(m-1) \dots 4.3 + 2 \text{ for } m \geq 2 \text{ and } d_0 = d_1 = 1.$$

Proof. Let  $\bar{Q} = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T \text{ such that } w_i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $w \in \bar{Q}$ . Let

$$\bar{A}(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w_i - 1 \leq x_i \leq w_i + 1 \text{ for each } i \in I_0(w) \text{ and } x_i = w_i \text{ for each } i \in I_e(w)\},$$

$$\bar{B}(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = w_i \text{ for each } i \in I_0(w), \text{ and } w_i - 1 \leq x_i \leq w_i \text{ if } w_i = 2, \text{ and } w_i \leq x_i \leq w_i + 1 \text{ if } w_i = 0\},$$

and let

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}(w) = \text{conv} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}(w) \right] \cup \left[ \frac{1}{2}\bar{B}(w) \right] \right\}.$$

We can easily demonstrate that  $\bigcup_{w \in \bar{Q}} \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}(w) = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times I^n$ .

Let  $m = |I_e(w)|$ . It is obvious that there are  $2^m C_n^m$  elements in  $\bar{Q}$  such that  $m$  components of each of them are even. From the number of simplices in  $I^n$  of the  $D_1$ -triangulation (see [2]) and the construction of the  $D_3$ -triangulation, we know that the number of simplices of the  $D_3$ -triangulation in  $\cup\{\bar{D}(w) \mid w \in \bar{Q} \text{ and } |I_e(w)| = m\}$  is equal to

$$(2^m - 1) C_n^m d_m (n-m)! + C_n^m d_m d_{n-m}.$$

Since  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times I^n = \bigcup_{m=0}^n (\cup\{\bar{D}(w) \mid w \in \bar{Q} \text{ and } |I_e(w)| = m\})$ , this proves the theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $n \geq 3$ , then  $q_n < p_n$ . As  $n$  goes to infinity,  $q_n/p_n$  goes to  $e-2$ .

Proof. Since, if  $n \geq 3$ , for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$(2^m - 1) C_n^m d_m (n-m)! + C_n^m d_m d_{n-m} < 2^m C_n^m (n-m)!,$$

the first part of the theorem is correct. Further,

$$q_n/p_n = \left( \sum_{m=0}^n 2^m d_m / m! \right) / (2^{n+1} - 1) + \left( \sum_{m=0}^n ((d_{n-m} / (n-m)!) - 1) d_m / m! \right) / (2^{n+1} - 1)$$

and  $d_n/n!$  goes to  $e-2$  as  $n$  goes to infinity. Hence the second part of the theorem is correct.

From Theorem 5.3, we see that the number of simplices of the  $D_3$ -triangulation in  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times I^n$  is the smallest of the  $K_3$ -,  $J_3$ -, and  $D_3$ -triangulations for simplicial continuous deforming algorithms.

## References

- [1] E.L. Allgower and K. Georg, "Simplicial and continuation methods for approximating fixed points and solutions to systems of equations", SIAM Review 22, 1980, pp. 28 - 85.
  
- [2] C. Dang, "The  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for simplicial algorithms for computing solutions of nonlinear equations", Discussion paper no. 8928, Center for Economic Research, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands, June 1989.
  
- [3] B.C. Eaves and R. Saigal, "Homotopies for computation of fixed points on unbounded regions", Mathematical Programming 3, 1972, pp. 225 - 237.
  
- [4] M. Kojima and Y. Yamamoto, "Variable dimension algorithms: Basic theory, interpretation, and extensions of some existing methods", Mathematical Programming 24, 1982, pp. 177 - 215.
  
- [5] G. van der Laan, Simplicial Fixed Point Algorithms, Mathematical Centre Tracts 129, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1980.
  
- [6] A.J.J. Talman, Variable Dimension Fixed Point Algorithms and Triangulations, Mathematical Centre Tracts 128, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1980.
  
- [7] M.J. Todd, The Computation of Fixed Points and Applications, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Springer Verlag, Berlin , 1976.

Discussion Paper Series, CentER, Tilburg University, The Netherlands:

No.	Author(s)	Title
8801	Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg	Fiscal Policy and Finite Lives in Interdependent Economies with Real and Nominal Wage Rigidity
8802	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	The Bias of Forecasts from a First-order Autoregression
8803	A.A. Weber	The Credibility of Monetary Policies, Policy-makers' Reputation and the EMS-Hypothesis: Empirical Evidence from 13 Countries
8804	F. van der Ploeg and A.J. de Zeeuw	Perfect Equilibrium in a Model of Competitive Arms Accumulation
8805	M.F.J. Steel	Seemingly Unrelated Regression Equation Systems under Diffuse Stochastic Prior Information: A Recursive Analytical Approach
8806	Th. Ten Raa and E.N. Wolff	Secondary Products and the Measurement of Productivity Growth
8807	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary and Fiscal Policy in Interdependent Economies with Capital Accumulation, Death and Population Growth
8901	Th. Ten Raa and P. Kop Jansen	The Choice of Model in the Construction of Input-Output Coefficients Matrices
8902	Th. Nijman and F. Palm	Generalized Least Squares Estimation of Linear Models Containing Rational Future Expectations
8903	A. van Soest, I. Woittiez, A. Kapteyn	Labour Supply, Income Taxes and Hours Restrictions in The Netherlands
8904	F. van der Ploeg	Capital Accumulation, Inflation and Long-Run Conflict in International Objectives
8905	Th. van de Klundert and A. van Schaik	Unemployment Persistence and Loss of Productive Capacity: A Keynesian Approach
8906	A.J. Markink and F. van der Ploeg	Dynamic Policy Simulation of Linear Models with Rational Expectations of Future Events: A Computer Package
8907	J. Osiewalski	Posterior Densities for Nonlinear Regression with Equicorrelated Errors
8908	M.F.J. Steel	A Bayesian Analysis of Simultaneous Equation Models by Combining Recursive Analytical and Numerical Approaches



No.	Author(s)	Title
8909	F. van der Ploeg	Two Essays on Political Economy (i) The Political Economy of Overvaluation (ii) Election Outcomes and the Stockmarket
8910	R. Gradus and A. de Zeeuw	Corporate Tax Rate Policy and Public and Private Employment
8911	A.P. Barten	Allais Characterisation of Preference Structures and the Structure of Demand
8912	K. Kamiya and A.J.J. Talman	Simplicial Algorithm to Find Zero Points of a Function with Special Structure on a Simplotope
8913	G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman	Price Rigidities and Rationing
8914	J. Osiewalski and M.F.J. Steel	A Bayesian Analysis of Exogeneity in Models Pooling Time-Series and Cross-Section Data
8915	R.P. Gilles, P.H. Ruys and J. Shou	On the Existence of Networks in Relational Models
8916	A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman and A. van Soest	Quantity Rationing and Concavity in a Flexible Household Labor Supply Model
8917	F. Canova	Seasonalities in Foreign Exchange Markets
8918	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Disinflation, Fiscal Expansion and the Current Account in an Interdependent World
8919	W. Bossert and F. Stehling	On the Uniqueness of Cardinaly Interpreted Utility Functions
8920	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Interdependence under Alternative Exchange-Rate Regimes
8921	D. Canning	Bottlenecks and Persistent Unemployment: Why Do Booms End?
8922	C. Fershtman and A. Fishman	Price Cycles and Booms: Dynamic Search Equilibrium
8923	M.B. Canzoneri and C.A. Rogers	Is the European Community an Optimal Currency Area? Optimal Tax Smoothing versus the Cost of Multiple Currencies
8924	F. Groot, C. Withagen and A. de Zeeuw	Theory of Natural Exhaustible Resources: The Cartel-Versus-Fringe Model Reconsidered

No.	Author(s)	Title
8925	O.P. Attanasio and G. Weber	Consumption, Productivity Growth and the Interest Rate
8926	N. Rankin	Monetary and Fiscal Policy in a 'Hartian' Model of Imperfect Competition
8927	Th. van de Klundert	Reducing External Debt in a World with Imperfect Asset and Imperfect Commodity Substitution
8928	C. Dang	The $D_1$ -Triangulation of $R^n$ for Simplicial Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
8929	M.F.J. Steel and J.F. Richard	Bayesian Multivariate Exogeneity Analysis: An Application to a UK Money Demand Equation
8930	F. van der Ploeg	Fiscal Aspects of Monetary Integration in Europe
8931	H.A. Keuzenkamp	The Prehistory of Rational Expectations
8932	E. van Damme, R. Selten and E. Winter	Alternating Bid Bargaining with a Smallest Money Unit
8933	H. Carlsson and E. van Damme	Global Payoff Uncertainty and Risk Dominance
8934	H. Huizinga	National Tax Policies towards Product- Innovating Multinational Enterprises
8935	C. Dang and D. Talman	A New Triangulation of the Unit Simplex for Computing Economic Equilibria
8936	Th. Nijman and M. Verbeek	The Nonresponse Bias in the Analysis of the Determinants of Total Annual Expenditures of Households Based on Panel Data
8937	A.P. Barten	The Estimation of Mixed Demand Systems
8938	G. Marini	Monetary Shocks and the Nominal Interest Rate
8939	W. Güth and E. van Damme	Equilibrium Selection in the Spence Signaling Game
8940	G. Marini and P. Scaramozzino	Monopolistic Competition, Expected Inflation and Contract Length
8941	J.K. Dagsvik	The Generalized Extreme Value Random Utility Model for Continuous Choice

No.	Author(s)	Title
8942	M.F.J. Steel	Weak Exogeneity in Misspecified Sequential Models
8943	A. Roell	Dual Capacity Trading and the Quality of the Market
8944	C. Hsiao	Identification and Estimation of Dichotomous Latent Variables Models Using Panel Data
8945	R.P. Gilles	Equilibrium in a Pure Exchange Economy with an Arbitrary Communication Structure
8946	W.B. MacLeod and J.M. Malcomson	Efficient Specific Investments, Incomplete Contracts, and the Role of Market Alternatives
8947	A. van Soest and A. Kapteyn	The Impact of Minimum Wage Regulations on Employment and the Wage Rate Distribution
8948	P. Kooreman and B. Melenberg	Maximum Score Estimation in the Ordered Response Model
8949	C. Dang	The $D_3$ -Triangulation for Simplicial Deformation Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations

P.O. BOX 90153. 5000 LE TILBURG. THE NETHERLANDS

**Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant**



**17 000 011 13855 0**